

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec 1459 Absandth, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,854	07/24/2001	Isao Nakatani	2001_1048A	4033
513	7590 12/01/2003		EXAMINER	
	OTH, LIND & PONACK	UMEZ ERONINI, LYNETTE T		
SUITE 800	2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT				<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/910,854	NAKATANI ET AL.
, autoberg , reader	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lynette T. Umez-Eronini	1765
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2003 FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eigendition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	ed to avoid abandonment of thi ther: (1) a timely filed amendm Appea! (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in
PERIOD FO	OR REPLY [check either a) or l	b)]
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPL 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sl (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the partner of the sl (b) above, if checked.	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH: The date on which the petition under 37 of extension and the corresponding amonortened statutory period for reply original.	ng date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee unt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (ellant's Brief must be filed with 37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis	in the period set forth in missal of the appeal.
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered as $(x,y) = (x,y) + (y,y)$	ered because:	
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require	e further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see	Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	cation in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without	canceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendment
 The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) requapplication in condition for allowance because 	uest for reconsideration has bedise:	en considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consider raised by the Examiner in the final rejection		OLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amen explanation of how the new or amended cla		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as for	ollows:	
Claim(s) allowed: none.		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6.		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta		-
10. ☐ Other:	aper	
		SUPERUISOR NADINE G. NORTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/910,854

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In (currently amended) Claim 1; and ' (currently) Claim 4, "A masking material for dry etching . . . - -wherein the masking material is in contact with the etching gas--" raises new issues that would require further consideration.